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#### Abstract

Consider two parallel lines (denoted $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ ). A graph is a PI graph (Point-Interval graph) if it is an intersection graph of a family $\mathcal{F}$ of triangles between $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ such that each triangle has an interval with two endpoints on $r_{1}$ and a vertex (a point) on $r_{2}$. The family $\mathcal{F}$ is the PI representation of $G$. The PI graphs are an extension of interval and permutation graphs and they form a subclass of trapezoid graphs. In this paper, we characterize the PI graphs in terms of its trapezoid representation. Also we show how to construct a family of trapezoid graphs but not PI graphs from a trapezoid representation of a known graph in this class.


## 1. Introduction

We consider simple, undirected, finite graphs $G=(V(G), E(G))$, where $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ are the vertex and edge sets, respectively.

A graph is an intersection graph if its vertices can be put in a one-to-one correspondence with a family of sets in such way that two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding sets have non-empty intersection.

Consider two parallel real lines (denoted $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ ). A graph is a permutation graph if it is an intersection graph of straight lines (one per vertex) between $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$. A graph is a PI graph (Point-Interval graph) if it is an intersection graph of triangles between $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ such that each triangle has an interval with two endpoints on $r_{1}$ and a vertex (a point) on $r_{2}$. The intersection graph of a family of trapezoids that have an interval with two endpoints on $r_{1}$ and another one with two endpoints on $r_{2}$ is called trapezoid graph.

A well known class of intersection graphs is the interval graphs, the intersection graph of intervals on a real line.

The class of PI graphs was defined by Corneil and Kamula [6] as an extension of the classes of interval and permutation graphs and as a subclass of trapezoid graphs.

Permutation and interval graphs have been extensively studied since their inception $[7,9,12,16]$ and both have linear-time algorithm for the recognition problem $[1,11,13]$.

[^0]Trapezoid graphs class is equivalent to the complements of interval dimension two partial orders. Since Cogis [5], in the early 80s, developed a polynomial time algorithm for the recognition of interval dimension two partial orders, trapezoid graphs recognition may be done in polynomial time too. In [15], Ma presents a trapezoid graph recognition algorithm which runs in time $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$. Habib and Möhring [10] and Cheah [3] have also developed polynomial time algorithms for the trapezoid graphs recognition. But PI graphs recognition problem remains still open [2]. This is a motivation to study this class. In Section 2., we characterize the PI graphs in terms of its trapezoid representation and in Section 3., given a graph $G$ that is trapezoid graph but not PI graph, we show how to construct a family of graphs in this class from a trapezoid representation of this known graph.

## 2. A PI Representation

We denote by $\Pi$ a trapezoid between two parallel real lines $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ such that $\Pi$ has one line segment with endpoints on $r_{1}$ and another one on $r_{2}$ and by $\Delta$ a triangle between two parallel lines $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ with a line segment on $r_{1}$ and a vertex on $r_{2}$.

A trapezoid representation $R$ of a graph $G$ is a family $\mathcal{F}$ of trapezoids between two parallel lines $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ and $G$ is the intersection graph of $\mathcal{F}$. A PI representation $R$ of a graph $G$ is a family $\mathcal{F}$ of triangles between two parallel lines $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ and $G$ is the intersection graph of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $G$ be a graph and $v \in V(G)$. We denote by $\Pi_{v}$ the trapezoid of $R$ that corresponds to $v$ and by $\Omega_{v}^{i}=\left[L_{v}^{i}, R_{v}^{i}\right]$ the line segment of $\Pi_{v}$ that lies on $r_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$. We also denote by $\Omega_{u}^{i} \ll \Omega_{v}^{i}$ when $\Omega_{u}^{i} \cap \Omega_{v}^{i}=\emptyset$ and $\Omega_{u}^{i}$ lies to the left of the $\Omega_{v}^{i}$. The segment $\Omega_{v}^{2}$ is denoted by $T_{v}$ when $L_{v}^{2}=R_{v}^{2}$ and thus we have a triangle $\Delta_{v}=\left(T_{v}, L_{v}^{1}, R_{v}^{1}\right)$.


Figure 1: A trapezoid graph and a trapezoid representation for this graph.

Figure 1 (a) shows an example of a trapezoid graph that is also a PI graph and Figure 1 (b) presents a trapezoid representation for this graph. A PI representation of this graph is presented in Figure 2.


Figure 2: A PI representation for the graph presented in Figure 1 (a).

Note that a trapezoid representation of a graph allows triangles (PI graphs are trapezoid graphs). From now on, given $R$, a trapezoid representation of a graph, we consider that any two endpoints on $r_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$, are distinct. It is possible, since $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are real lines.

Let $R$ be a trapezoid representation of a graph $G$ and let $\Pi_{u}, \Pi_{v}$ and $\Pi_{w}$ trapezoids in $R$ such that

$$
L_{u}^{2}<R_{v}^{2}<L_{w}^{2}<R_{u}^{2} \text { and } R_{v}^{1}<L_{u}^{1}<R_{u}^{1}<L_{w}^{1}
$$

This triple of trapezoids is called an obstruction on $r_{2}$ and $\Pi_{u}$ is the center of the obstruction on $r_{2}$. The exchange between $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ gives an obstruction on $r_{1}$. We call this triple only by obstruction, when there is no confusion. The triple $\Pi_{u}, \Pi_{v}$ and $\Pi_{w}$ in Figure 3 is an obstruction on $r_{2}$. Note that the correspondent vertices $v$ and $w$ of an obstruction are non-adjacent vertices of $G$. Cheah presents in [3] representations of permutation graphs with similar constructions that he uses to produce a conjecture for the PI graphs recognition.


Figure 3: An obstruction on $r_{2}$.

A graph $G$ has a trapezoid representation $R$ with obstructions on $r_{2}$ if, and only if, $G$ has a trapezoid representation $R^{\prime}$ with obstructions on $r_{1}$. In fact, we can exchange $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$.

Given $R$ a trapezoid representation of a graph $G$ and $u \in V(G)$ such that $\Pi_{u}$ is not the center of any obstruction of $R$, the next algorithm constructs another trapezoid representation of $G$ from $R$ where the vertex $u$ is represented by a triangle $\Delta_{u}$.

Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG(R,u);
Input: $R$ is a trapezoid representation of a graph $G$ and $u \in V(G)$ such that $\Pi_{u}$ is not a center of obstructions of $R$.
Output: $R^{\prime}$, a trapezoid representation of a graph $G$ where the vertex $u$ is represented by a triangle $\Delta_{u}$.

Step 1. If $L_{u}^{2} \neq R_{u}^{2}$, then
Step 1.1 $T_{l}:=L_{u}^{2} ; T_{r}:=R_{u}^{2} ;$
Step 1.2 if there is $\Pi_{v}$ such that $\Omega_{v}^{1} \ll \Omega_{u}^{1}$ and $L_{u}^{2}<R_{v}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}$,
then $T_{r}:=R_{k}^{2}$, where $R_{k}^{2}$ is the leftmost vertex among every $R_{v}^{2}$.
Step 1.3 if there is $\Pi_{w}$ such that $\Omega_{u}^{1} \ll \Omega_{w}^{1}$ and $L_{u}^{2}<L_{w}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}$,
then $T_{l}:=L_{k}^{2}$, where $L_{k}^{2}$ is the rightmost vertex among every $L_{w}^{2}$.
Step 1.4 choose $T_{u}$ such that $T_{l}<T_{u}<T_{r}$ and do $\Omega_{u}^{2}:=T_{u}$.
Step $1.5 R:=\left(R \backslash\left\{\Pi_{u}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{\Delta_{u}\right\}$, where $\Delta_{u}=\left(T_{u}, L_{u}^{1}, R_{u}^{1}\right)$.
Step 2. $R^{\prime}:=R$ and return $R^{\prime}$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $R$ be a trapezoid representation of a graph $G$ and $u$ a vertex of $G$ such that $\Pi_{u}$ is not a center of any obstruction of $R$. Then the Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG $(R, u)$ transforms $\Pi_{u}$ to a triangle $\Delta_{u}$.

Proof. If $L_{u}^{2}=R_{u}^{2}$, then $\Pi_{u}$ is a triangle, only Step 2 is executed, and the lemma follows.

Now, we consider $L_{u}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}$ and we suppose that is not possible to choose $T_{u}$ such that $T_{l}<T_{u}<T_{r}$, in the Step 1.4. Thus, $T_{r}<T_{l}$ since all vertices of $R$ are distinct. Since, in Step 1.1, $T_{l}=L_{u}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}=T_{r}$, the condition $T_{r}<T_{l}$ says that the Step 1.2 or Step 1.3 of the algorithm are executed. If only Step 1.2 (or Step $1.3)$ is executed, $T_{r}=R_{v}^{2}$, for some $\Pi_{v}$, and $T_{l}=L_{u}^{2}\left(T_{l}=L_{w}^{2}\right.$, for some $\Pi_{w}$, and $T_{r}=R_{u}^{2}$ ). In this case, by condition of Step 1.2 (Step 1.3), $T_{l}=L_{u}^{2}<R_{v}^{2}=T_{r}$ $\left(T_{l}=L_{w}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}=T_{r}\right)$, a contradiction. Hence both Step 1.2 and Step 1.3 are executed. Therefore, there is $\Pi_{v}$ with $\Omega_{v}^{1} \ll \Omega_{u}^{1}, L_{u}^{2}<R_{v}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}$ and $T_{r}=R_{v}^{2}$ and there is $\Pi_{w}$ such that $\Omega_{u}^{1} \ll \Omega_{w}^{1}, L_{u}^{2}<L_{w}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}$ and $T_{l}=L_{w}^{2}$. Since $T_{r}<T_{l}$, the triple $\Pi_{v}, \Pi_{u}$ and $\Pi_{w}$ would be an obstruction of $R$ with $\Pi_{u}$ the center of this obstruction, a contradiction. So, $T_{l}<T_{r}$ and thus it is possible to choose a vertex $T_{u}$ such that $T_{l}<T_{u}<T_{r}$ and the Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG $(R, u)$ makes $\Pi_{u}$ into a triangle $\Delta_{u}=\left(T_{u}, L_{u}^{1}, R_{u}^{1}\right)$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $R$ be a trapezoid representation of a graph $G$ and $u$ a vertex of $G$ such that $\Pi_{u}$ is not a center of any obstruction of $R$. Then the representation obtained by Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG(R,u) is a trapezoid representation of $G$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 the Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG( $R, u)$ transforms $\Pi_{u}$ to a triangle $\Delta_{u}=\left(T_{u}, L_{u}^{1}, R_{u}^{1}\right)$ with $L_{u}^{2}<T_{u}<R_{u}^{2}$. We will show that the Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG $(R, u)$ preserves the adjacencies of $G$.

If condition of Step 1 is not satisfied, then $\Pi_{u}=\Delta_{u}$ and only Step 2 is executed, and the Lemma 2.2 follows. Otherwise, steps 1.1 to 1.5 are executed.

Since these steps of the algorithm only reduces $\Omega_{u}^{2}$ to $T_{u}$ and $T_{u} \in \Omega_{u}^{2}$, no new intersection is created. So, it is sufficient to consider trapezoids of $R$ that have nonempty intersection with $\Pi_{u}$. The algorithm acts only on $r_{2}$, then the intersections of the trapezoids with $\Pi_{u}$ on $r_{1}$ are maintained. Therefore, we can consider only trapezoids $\Pi_{v}$ (and $\Pi_{w}$ ) such that $\Pi_{u} \cap \Pi_{v} \neq \emptyset\left(\Pi_{u} \cap \Pi_{w} \neq \emptyset\right)$ and $\Omega_{v}^{1} \ll \Omega_{u}^{1}$ $\left(\Omega_{u}^{1} \ll \Omega_{w}^{1}\right)$. By Step 1.1, we have $T_{l}=L_{u}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}=T_{r}$. If Step 1.2 and Step 1.3 of the Algorithm are not executed, then the vertices $R_{v}^{2}$ and $L_{w}^{2}$ are not between $L_{u}^{2}$ and $R_{u}^{2}$. Since $L_{u}^{2}<T_{u}<R_{u}^{2}$, the adjacencies are preserved.

If Step 1.2 (Step 1.3) of the Algorithm is executed, we have $L_{u}^{2}<R_{v}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}$ $\left(L_{u}^{2}<L_{w}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}\right)$. In this case, the algorithm chooses $T_{r}=R_{k}^{2}\left(T_{l}=L_{k^{\prime}}^{2}\right)$, where $R_{k}^{2}$ $\left(L_{k^{\prime}}^{2}\right)$ is the leftmost (rightmost) vertex on $r_{2}$ among every $R_{v}^{2}\left(L_{w}^{2}\right)$. This implies, by the selection of $R_{k}^{2}\left(L_{k^{\prime}}^{2}\right)$, that in Step $1.4 L_{u}^{2}<T_{u}<R_{k}^{2} \leq R_{v}^{2}\left(L_{w}^{2} \leq L_{k^{\prime}}^{2}<\right.$ $\left.T_{u}<R_{u}^{2}\right)$ and the adjacencies are preserved.

If both Step 1.2 and Step 1.3 of the Algorithm are executed, then we have $T_{r}=R_{k}^{2} \leq R_{v}^{2}$ and $L_{w}^{2} \leq L_{k^{\prime}}^{2}=T_{l}$ where $R_{k}^{2}$ and $L_{k^{\prime}}^{2}$ satisfy the condition of these steps. Since, by hypothesis, $\Pi_{u}$ is not a center of any obstruction of $R$, then $L_{k^{\prime}}^{2}<R_{k}^{2}$. Hence, by Step 1.4, $L_{w}^{2} \leq L_{k^{\prime}}^{2}=T_{l}<T_{u}<T_{r}=R_{k}^{2} \leq R_{v}^{2}$ and, again, the adjacencies are preserved.

So, the new representation obtained by Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG $(R, u)$ is a trapezoid representation of $G$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $R$ be a trapezoid representation of a graph $G$ without obstructions on $r_{2}$. The trapezoid representation obtained by Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG(R,u) does not have obstructions on $r_{2}$.

Proof. Let $R^{\prime}$ be a trapezoid representation of a graph $G$ obtained from $R$ by Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG $(R, u)$, where vertex $u$ of $G$ is represented by $\Delta_{u}$. Suppose by a moment that $R^{\prime}$ has an obstruction $\mathcal{O}$ generated by the Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG $(R, u)$. Since the algorithm modifies only $\Omega_{u}^{2}$, the triangle $\Delta_{u}$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}$. But $\Delta_{u}$ can not be the center of obstructions of $R^{\prime}$, since all the vertices of $r_{2}$ are distinct.

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}=\left\{\Pi_{v}, \Delta_{u}, \Pi_{w}\right\}$ with $\Pi_{v}$ the center of $\mathcal{O}$ and consider $\Omega_{u}^{1} \ll$ $\Omega_{v}^{1}$. (When $\Omega_{v}^{1} \ll \Omega_{u}^{1}$, the proof is analogous.) So, in $R^{\prime}$, the obstruction satisfies $\Omega_{u}^{1} \ll \Omega_{v}^{1} \ll \Omega_{w}^{1}$ and $L_{v}^{2}<T_{u}<L_{w}^{2}<R_{v}^{2}$.

Thus, in $R^{\prime}, \Delta_{u} \cap \Pi_{w}=\emptyset$ and $\Delta_{u} \cap \Pi_{v} \neq \emptyset$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, $\Pi_{u} \cap \Pi_{w}=\emptyset$ and $\Pi_{u} \cap \Pi_{v} \neq \emptyset$ in $R$. So, we have $L_{v}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}<L_{w}^{2}<R_{v}^{2}$ in $R$. Therefore, there was in $R$ an obstruction $\left\{\Pi_{u}, \Pi_{v}, \Pi_{w}\right\}$ with center $\Pi_{v}$, contradicting the fact that $R$ has no obstructions on $r_{2}$.

Theorem 2.1. A graph $G$ is a PI graph if, and only if, $G$ has a trapezoid representation without obstruction on $r_{2}$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a PI graph. Then $G$ has a PI representation $R$ such that each triangle $\Delta_{v}$ has a top vertex $T_{v}, v \in V(G)$, on $r_{2}$. Recall $T_{v} \neq T_{u}$ for $v \neq u$. For each $T_{v}, v \in V(G)$, it is possible to construct a segment $\left[L_{v}^{2}, R_{v}^{2}\right]$ obtaining a trapezoid representation $R^{\prime}$ of $G$. To do this, it is sufficient to construct for each two consecutive top vertices $T_{v}$ and $T_{u}$, two disjoint segments $\left[L_{v}^{2}, R_{v}^{2}\right]$ and $\left[L_{u}^{2}, R_{u}^{2}\right]$ such that if $T_{v}<T_{u}$ on $R, \Omega_{v}^{2} \ll \Omega_{u}^{2}$ on $R^{\prime}$. This is possible because $r_{2}$ is a real line. Hence we conclude that $R^{\prime}$ is a trapezoid representation of $G$ without obstructions on $r_{2}$.

Let $R=R_{1}$ be a trapezoid representation of a graph $G$ without obstructions on $r_{2}$. The Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG $(R, u)$ acts only at trapezoids $\Pi_{u}$ that are not centers of obstructions. By Lemma 2.1, the Algorithm transforms $\Pi_{u}$ into $\Delta_{u}$. By Lemma 2.2, this new trapezoid representation, $R_{2}$, is also a trapezoid representation of $G$. Since, by hypothesis, $R_{1}$ has no obstructions on $r_{2}$, then by Lemma $2.3, R_{2}$ has no obstructions on $r_{2}$ too. Then, we use $R_{2}$ in the input of the algorithm and so on.

After $|V(G)|$ applications of Algorithm TRAPtoTRIANG $\left(R_{i}, v\right)$ on distinct vertices $v$ of $G$, we have a PI representation of $G$.

## 3. The Trapezoid Graphs that are not PI Graphs

In this section we consider graphs that are trapezoid graphs but not PI graphs. We give properties of trapezoid representations of a graph in this class. Recall that from a trapezoid representation of a graph we obtain another one by exchanging $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$. Thus, by Theorem 2.1, a graph $G$ is a trapezoid graph but it is not PI graph if, and only if, every trapezoid representation of $G$ has obstructions on $r_{1}$ and on $r_{2}$.

Given a trapezoid representation $R$ of a graph such that $R$ has an obstruction, the next theorem exhibits an structure that is necessary not to destroy the obstruction of $R$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $R$ be a trapezoid representation of a graph $G$ and let $\mathcal{O}=$ $\left\{\Pi_{u}, \Pi_{v}, \Pi_{w}\right\}$ be an obstruction in $R$. If at least one of $\Pi_{x}, \Pi_{y}, \Pi_{t}$ and $\Pi_{z}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{v}^{1}<L_{x}^{1}<R_{y}^{1}<L_{u}^{1} \quad \text { and } R_{y}^{2}<L_{u}^{2}<R_{v}^{2}<L_{x}^{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
\text { and } \\
R_{u}^{1}<L_{z}^{1}<R_{t}^{1}<L_{w}^{1} \quad \text { and } R_{t}^{2}<L_{w}^{2}<R_{u}^{2}<L_{z}^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

does not exist, then it is possible to construct from $R$ a trapezoid representation of a graph $G$ without the obstruction $\mathcal{O}$.

Proof. First we consider the trapezoids $\Pi_{x}, \Pi_{y}$ and the condition (3.1). (See Figure 4.) The proof for trapezoids $\Pi_{z}, \Pi_{t}$ and the condition (3.2) is analogous.

Let $\mathcal{O}=\left\{\Pi_{u}, \Pi_{v}, \Pi_{w}\right\}$ be an obstruction of a trapezoid representation $R$ of a graph $G$ with center $\Pi_{u}$. Suppose that there are not trapezoids $\Pi_{y}$ such that $R_{y}^{2}<L_{u}^{2}<R_{v}^{2}$ and $R_{v}^{1}<R_{y}^{1}<L_{u}^{1}$.


Figure 4: The trapezoids $\Pi_{x}$ and $\Pi_{y}$ satisfy the condition (3.1).

Let $P$ be the first endpoint of $\Omega_{p}^{1}$ such that $P<R_{v}^{1}$. We move the left endpoint of $\Pi_{u}$ on $r_{1}$ such that the new position of $L_{u}^{1}$ is $P<L_{u}^{1}<R_{v}^{1}$ and we call by $R^{\prime}$ the new trapezoid representation.

Now, we will prove that $R^{\prime}$ is also a trapezoid representation of $G$.
The only difference between $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ is at trapezoid $\Pi_{u}$ and on $r_{1}: \Omega_{u}^{1}$ is greater in $R^{\prime}$ than $\Omega_{u}^{1}$ in $R$ but $\Omega_{u}^{2}$ was not changed. Hence, if $\Pi \cap \Pi_{u} \neq \emptyset$ in $R$, for some trapezoid $\Pi$, then $\Pi \cap \Pi_{u} \neq \emptyset$ in $R^{\prime}$.

Now, we shall show that if $\Pi \cap \Pi_{u}=\emptyset$ in $R$, for some trapezoid $\Pi$, then $\Pi \cap \Pi_{u}=\emptyset$ in $R^{\prime}$. For that, suppose there is a trapezoid $\Pi_{k}$ such that $\Pi_{k} \cap \Pi_{u}=\emptyset$ in $R$ and $\Pi_{k} \cap \Pi_{u} \neq \emptyset$ in $R^{\prime}$. Then, $R_{v}^{1}<R_{k}^{1}<L_{u}^{1}$ in $R$. Moreover, since $\Pi_{k} \cap \Pi_{u}=\emptyset$ in $R$, then $R_{k}^{2}<L_{u}^{2}$ in $R$. It follows that $\Pi_{k}$ satisfies the condition (3.1) for trapezoid $\Pi_{y}$ in $R$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $R^{\prime}$ is a trapezoid representation of $G$. Moreover, in $R^{\prime}, \Omega_{v}^{1} \cap \Omega_{u}^{1} \neq \emptyset$, so the obstruction $\mathcal{O}$ of $R$ was removed.

Now we suppose that there are not trapezoids $\Pi_{x}$ in $R$ such that $R_{v}^{1}<L_{x}^{1}<L_{u}^{1}$ and $R_{v}^{2}<L_{x}^{2}$.

Let $P$ be the first endpoint of $\Omega_{p}^{1}$ such that $L_{u}^{1}<P$. Note that $P$ can be equal to $R_{u}^{1}$. We move the right endpoint of $\Pi_{v}$ on $r_{1}$ such that the new position of $R_{v}^{1}$ is $L_{u}^{1}<R_{v}^{1}<P$ and we call by $R^{\prime \prime}$ the new trapezoid representation.

The only difference between $R$ and $R^{\prime \prime}$ is at trapezoid $\Pi_{v}$ and on $r_{1}: \Omega_{v}^{1}$ is greater in $R^{\prime \prime}$ than $\Omega_{v}^{1}$ in $R$ (note that the endpoint $L_{v}^{1}$ and $\Omega_{v}^{2}$ were not changed). Hence, if $\Pi \cap \Pi_{v} \neq \emptyset$ in $R$, for some trapezoid $\Pi$, then $\Pi \cap \Pi_{v} \neq \emptyset$ in $R^{\prime \prime}$.

Suppose that there is a trapezoid $\Pi_{k}$ such that $\Pi_{k} \cap \Pi_{v}=\emptyset$ in $R$ and $\Pi_{k} \cap \Pi_{v} \neq \emptyset$ in $R^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\Pi_{k} \cap \Pi_{v} \neq \emptyset$ in $R^{\prime \prime}, \Pi_{k}$ has an endpoint on the interval $\left(R_{v}^{1}, P\right)$. Then, $R_{v}^{1}<L_{k}^{1}<P \leq R_{u}^{1}$ in $R$. By choosing of $P$, the interval $\left(L_{u}^{1}, P\right)$ does not have endpoints of trapezoids, then $L_{k}^{1}<L_{u}^{1}$. Therefore $R_{v}^{1}<L_{k}^{1}<L_{u}^{1}$ in $R$. Since the intersection of $\Pi_{k}$ and $\Pi_{v}$ is empty in $R$, then $R_{v}^{2}<L_{k}^{2}$ in $R$. Hence we conclude that $\Pi_{k}$ satisfies the condition (3.1) for trapezoid $\Pi_{x}$ in $R$, a contradiction.

Since no new intersection was created in $R^{\prime \prime}$, it represents the same graph $G$ of $R$. Moreover, the trapezoid representation $R^{\prime \prime}$ has $L_{u}^{2}<R_{v}^{2}$ and $L_{u}^{1}<R_{v}^{1}$, so the obstruction $\mathcal{O}$ of $R$ was removed.

By theorems 2.1 and 3.1, we have the following collorary.
Corollary 3.1. A graph $G$ is a PI graph if and only if there is a trapezoid representation $R$ of $G$ such that for every obstruction on $r_{2}$ of $R$, the condition of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied.


Figure 5: A trapezoid graph $G$ that is not PI graph and a trapezoid representation of $G$.

Few graphs are known in the class of trapezoid graphs that are not PI graphs [4, $8,14]$. We will show how to construct a family of graphs that belongs to this class from a known graph of the same class.

Let $G$ be a trapezoid graph that is not PI graph and $R$ a trapezoid representation of $G$ with $\mathcal{O}=\left\{\Pi_{u}, \Pi_{v}, \Pi_{w}\right\}$ an obstruction of $R$ on $r_{2}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}=\left\{\Pi_{u^{\prime}}, \Pi_{v^{\prime}}, \Pi_{w^{\prime}}\right\}$ an obstruction of $R$ on $r_{1}$. Then $R$ contains trapezoids $\Pi_{x}, \Pi_{y}, \Pi_{x^{\prime}}, \Pi_{y^{\prime}}$ satisfying condition (3.1) and $\Pi_{t}$ and $\Pi_{z}, \Pi_{t^{\prime}}$ and $\Pi_{z^{\prime}}$ satisfying condition (3.2). (The notation without apostrophe refers to $\mathcal{O}$ and the other one refers to $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$.) If $\Pi_{u}=\Pi_{u^{\prime}}$, we obtain a representation given by Lin [14]. (See Figure 5.)

Consider the obstruction $\mathcal{O}$ of $R$. The condition (3.1) of the Theorem 3.1 says that $R_{v}^{2}<L_{x}^{2}$ and $R_{y}^{2}<L_{u}^{2}$. Note that there are no restrictions either on $R_{x}^{2}$ and $R_{x}^{1}$ or on $L_{y}^{2}$ and $L_{y}^{1}$. Thus these vertices can be moved to any position on the right of $L_{x}^{2}$ and of $L_{x}^{1}$ and on the left of $R_{y}^{2}$ and $R_{y}^{1}$, respectively, making new intersections. Similarly, from the condition (3.2) of the Theorem 3.1 about $R_{t}^{2}$ and $L_{z}^{2}$, we can move $L_{t}^{2}$ or $L_{t}^{1}$ and $R_{z}^{2}$ or $R_{z}^{1}$ to any position that are less than $R_{t}^{2}$ or $R_{t}^{1}$ and greater than $L_{z}^{2}$ or $L_{z}^{1}$, respectively. The same arguments are valid for an obstruction $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ of $R$. Therefore, using this liberty for the choice of position of these vertices, we can construct a family of trapezoid graphs that are not PI graphs from a known trapezoid representation of a graph in this class. The Figure 6 shows an element of the family obtained from the trapezoid representation of the Figure 5.

Let $\Pi \in\left\{\Pi_{x}, \Pi_{y}, \Pi_{t}, \Pi_{z}, \Pi_{x}^{\prime}, \Pi_{y}^{\prime}, \Pi_{t}^{\prime}, \Pi_{z}^{\prime}\right\}$. In case $\Pi$ is equal to some other trapezoid $\Pi^{\prime}$ that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1, then any change at the position of the endpoints of $\Pi$ must still satisfy the constraints for $\Pi^{\prime}$.


Figure 6: A new trapezoid graph that is not PI graph obtained from the trapezoid representation of the Figure 5.

Resumo. Considere duas retas paralelas $r_{1}$ e $r_{2}$ e $\mathcal{F}$, uma família de triângulos com um lado em $r_{1}$ e um vértice em $r_{2}$. Um grafo é PI (Ponto-Intervalo) se é grafo interseção da família $\mathcal{F}$. Grafos PI são uma generalização dos grafos de intervalos e dos grafos permutação e são subclasse dos grafos trapezóides. Neste artigo, caracterizamos os grafos PI em função de suas representações trapezoidais. Além disso, dada uma representação trapezoidal de qualquer grafo que não é PI, nós mostramos como construir uma família de grafos trapezóides que não são PI.
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